

$\eta'(958)$

 $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

$\eta'(958)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
957.78 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
957.793 ± 0.054 ± 0.036	3.9k	LIBBY 08	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.6	4800	WURZINGER 96	SPEC	$1.68 pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
957.46 ± 0.33		DUANE 74	MMS	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
958.2 ± 0.5	1414	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
958 ± 1	400	JACOBS 73	HBC	$2.9 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ± 1.1	3415	¹ BASILE 71	CNTR	$1.6 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
957.5 ± 0.2		BAI 04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ± 1	630	² BELADIDZE 92C	VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
958 ± 1	340	² ARMSTRONG 91B	OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ± 0.4	622	² AUGUSTIN 90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ± 0.2	2420	² AUGUSTIN 90	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ± 1.0	143	² GIDAL 87	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ± 1.4	535	³ BASILE 71	CNTR	$1.6 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
957 ± 1		RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

¹ Using all η' decays.

² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

³ Using η' decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71 η' mass measurement.

$\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.188 ± 0.006 OUR FIT					
0.230 ± 0.021 OUR AVERAGE					
0.226 ± 0.017 ± 0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI 10	MMS		$pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$
0.40 ± 0.22	4800	WURZINGER 96	SPEC		$1.68 pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
0.28 ± 0.10	1000	BINNIE 79	MMS	0	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ± 0.04		BAI 04J	BES2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

$\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(42.5 ± 0.5) %	
$\Gamma_2 \rho^0\gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$)	(29.5 ± 0.4) %	
$\Gamma_3 \rho^0\gamma$		

Γ_4	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	(22.4 \pm 0.5) %		
Γ_5	$\omega \gamma$	(2.52 \pm 0.07) %		
Γ_6	$\omega e^+ e^-$	(2.0 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_7	$\gamma \gamma$	(2.307 \pm 0.033) %		
Γ_8	$3\pi^0$	(2.50 \pm 0.17) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_9	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	(1.13 \pm 0.28) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	< 2.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(3.61 \pm 0.17) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{12}	$(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ S-wave	(3.8 \pm 0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{13}	$\pi^\mp \rho^\pm$	(7.4 \pm 2.3) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{14}	$\pi^0 \rho^0$	< 4 %	90%	
Γ_{15}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	(8.4 \pm 0.9) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{16}	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	(1.8 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{17}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ neutrals	< 1 %	95%	
Γ_{18}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$	< 1.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%	
Γ_{19}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) 2\pi^0$	< 1 %	95%	
Γ_{20}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 3.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{21}	$K^\pm \pi^\mp$	< 4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{22}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	(2.4 \pm 1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{23}	$\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
Γ_{24}	$\gamma e^+ e^-$	(4.91 \pm 0.27) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{25}	$\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$	(3.20 \pm 0.24) $\times 10^{-3}$		
Γ_{26}	$\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ (non resonant)	(6.2 \pm 0.9) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{27}	$\eta \gamma \gamma$	< 1.33 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
Γ_{28}	$4\pi^0$	< 4.94 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
Γ_{29}	$e^+ e^-$	< 5.6 $\times 10^{-9}$	90%	
Γ_{30}	invisible	< 6 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton family number (LF) violating modes**

Γ_{31}	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	P, CP	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{32}	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	P, CP	< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{33}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	C	[a] < 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{34}	$\eta e^+ e^-$	C	[a] < 2.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{35}	3γ	C	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{36}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0$	C	[a] < 6.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{37}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \eta$	C	[a] < 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{38}	$e \mu$	LF	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 19 branching ratios uses 51 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 69.4$ for 43 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-24							
x_4	-74 -42							
x_5	-7 -6 -2							
x_7	-11	-7	9	-1				
x_8	-17	-9	19	0	2			
x_{11}	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0		
x_{22}	-9	-7	-7	-1	-2	-2	0	
Γ	11	-10	-1	1	-40	0	0	2
	x_1	x_2	x_4	x_5	x_7	x_8	x_{11}	x_{22}

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1	$\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	0.0799 ± 0.0029
Γ_2	$\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$)	0.0554 ± 0.0019
Γ_4	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	0.0421 ± 0.0017
Γ_5	$\omega \gamma$	0.00474 ± 0.00020
Γ_7	$\gamma \gamma$	0.00434 ± 0.00013
Γ_8	$3\pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{22}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	$(4.4 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$

$\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$		Γ_7
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID
4.34 ± 0.14 OUR FIT		TECN
4.28 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE		COMMENT
4.17 $\pm 0.10 \pm 0.27$	2000	¹ ACCIARRI 98Q L3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.53 $\pm 0.29 \pm 0.51$	266	KARCH 92 CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
3.61 $\pm 0.13 \pm 0.48$		² BEHREND 91 CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
4.6 $\pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$	23	BARU 90 MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

$4.57 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.44$		BUTLER	90	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
$5.08 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.71$	547	³ ROE	90	ASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$
$3.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	34	AIHARA	88c	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	136	⁴ WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	143	⁵ GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
4.0 ± 0.9		⁶ BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$

¹ No non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^-$ contribution found.² Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$.³ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁴ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.⁵ Superseded by BUTLER 90.⁶ Systematic error not evaluated. **$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$** **Γ_{29}**

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	1,2 ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
$<2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.² Using η and η' branching fractions from PDG 14. **$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $\gamma\gamma$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_7 \Gamma_2 / \Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.28 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
1.26 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
$1.09 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$		BEHREND	91	CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho(770)^0 \gamma$
$1.35 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.21$		AIHARA	87	TPC $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
$1.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.13$	867	ALBRECHT	87B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
$1.53 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.21$		ALTHOFF	84E	TASS $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
$1.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$	243	BERGER	84B	PLUT $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
$1.73 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.35$	95	JENNI	83	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
$1.49 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.027$	213	BARTEL	82B	JADE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.85 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.24$	43	BEHREND	82C	CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_7\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE (keV)</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>
0.97±0.04 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.92±0.06±0.11	¹ KARCH 92 CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.95±0.05±0.08	² KARCH 90 CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
1.00±0.08±0.10	^{2,3} ANTREASYAN 87 CBAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
1 Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$. Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.	
2 Superseded by KARCH 92.	
3 Using $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.	

 $\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE (10⁻³ eV)</i>	<i>CL%</i>
<1.0	90
1 AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
1 AKHMETSHIN 15 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.	

 $\eta'(958) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<i>VALUE (units 10⁻²)</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
42.5 ±0.5 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
41.24±0.08±1.24	312k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
42.4 ±1.1 ±0.4	1.2k ¹ PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1 Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.	

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$0.2789\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
0.1185±0.0015 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.123 ±0.014	107 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
0.10 ±0.04	10 LONDON 66 HBC $2.24 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
0.07 ±0.04	7 BADIER 65B HBC 3 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$0.7212\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
0.306±0.004 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.314±0.026	281 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2 / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
29.5 \pm 0.4 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
29.90 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.55	913k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
28.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4	0.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
32.9 \pm 3.3	298	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
20 \pm 10	20	LONDON	66 HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
34 \pm 9	35	BADIER	65B HBC	3 $K^- p$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3 / Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
33.34 \pm 0.06 \pm 1.60	970k	¹ ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
34.43 \pm 0.52 \pm 1.97	970k	² ABLIKIM	18C BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From a fit to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and box anomaly components.

² From a fit to $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass using $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, and $\rho(1450)$ components.

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_2 / Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.694 \pm 0.014 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.683 \pm 0.020 OUR AVERAGE				
0.677 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.011		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$
0.69 \pm 0.03		ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

 $\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta (\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_2 / 0.714 \Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.972 \pm 0.020 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.97 \pm 0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
0.70 \pm 0.22		AMSLER	04B CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
1.07 \pm 0.17		BELADIDZE	92C VES	36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
0.92 \pm 0.14	473	DANBURG	73 HBC	2.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
1.11 \pm 0.18	192	JACOBS	73 HBC	2.9 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4 / Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
22.4 \pm 0.6 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
21.36 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.92	52k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
23.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4	3.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (3\pi^0 \text{decay})) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.321 \Gamma_4 / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0718 \pm 0.0018 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.11 \pm 0.06	4	BENSINGER	70 DBC	2.2 $\pi^+ d$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.527±0.019 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.555±0.043±0.013	PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.454±0.009 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.43 ±0.02 ±0.02	BARBERIS 98C OMEG 450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta' p_s$		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.31 ±0.15	DAVIS 68 HBC 5.5 $K^- p$		

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.52 ±0.07 OUR FIT				
2.50 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
2.489±0.018±0.074	23k	ABLIKIM 19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
2.55 ±0.03 ±0.16	33.2k	¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.34 ±0.30 ±0.04	70	² PEDLAR 09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

² Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0593±0.0018 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.055 ±0.007 ±0.001				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.068 ±0.013	68	ZANFINO 77 ASPK	8.4 $\pi^- p$	

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.113±0.004 OUR FIT			
0.147±0.016			
ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$	

$\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$ Γ_6/Γ_5

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
7.71±1.34±0.54	¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$	

¹ Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.

$\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.97±0.34±0.17	66	¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)+\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)+\Gamma(\omega\eta)]}{\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.437±0.008 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.25 ± 0.14	DAUBER	64	HBC	1.95 $K^- p$
-------------	--------	----	-----	--------------

$$\frac{[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay}))+\Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{(0.286\Gamma_4+0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.0864±0.0017 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.045 ± 0.029	42	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
---------------	----	------------	----	-----	-----------------

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{(0.714\Gamma_1+0.286\Gamma_4+0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma}$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.3897±0.0028 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.4 ± 0.1	39	LONDON	66	HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals}$
0.35 ± 0.06	33	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

2.307±0.035 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

2.31 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

2.331±0.012±0.035	71k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.99 $^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ ± 0.07	114	¹ WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\gamma\gamma$
2.00 ± 0.18		² STANTON	80	SPEC	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^- 2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.25 ± 0.16 ± 0.03	0.3k	³ PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.8 ± 0.2	6000	⁴ APEL	79	NICE	$15–40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$
2.5 ± 0.7		DUANE	74	MMS	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
1.71 ± 0.33	68	DALPIAZ	72	CNTR	$1.6 \pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	31	HARVEY	71	OSPK	$3.65 \pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16+0.15}_{-0.15-0.12}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.04 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Includes APEL 79 result.

³ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

⁴ Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.0543±0.0012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.053 ± 0.004 ± 0.001	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
------------------------------	--------	----	------	----------------------------------

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma \text{ (including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_7/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0783±0.0016 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.080 ±0.008	ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_7/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.1031±0.0028 OUR FIT			
0.105 ±0.010 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.9.
0.091 ± 0.009	AMSLER	93 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.112 ± 0.002 ± 0.006	ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta \text{ (neutral decay)})$ $\Gamma_7/0.714\Gamma_4$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.144±0.004 OUR FIT				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.188±0.058 16 APEL 72 OSPK 3.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

 $\Gamma(\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_4+0.09\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.185±0.004 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.185±0.022 535 BASILE 71 CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
 0.189±0.026 123 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.50 ±0.17 OUR FIT				

3.57 ±0.26 OUR AVERAGE

3.522±0.082±0.254 2015 ABLIKIM 17 BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$
 4.79 ±0.59 ±1.14 183 ¹ABLIKIM 15P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.56 ±0.22 ±0.34 309 ²ABLIKIM 12E BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

² Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_8/Γ_4

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
112± 8 OUR FIT				

78±10 OUR AVERAGE

86±19	235	BLIK	08 GAMS	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74±15		ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75±18		BINON	84 GAM2	30–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_9/Γ_7

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4.9±1.2	33	VIKTOROV	80 CNTR	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.29	90	¹ ABLIKIM	130	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<2.4	90	² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{10}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.5	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{10}/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.0	90	ABLIKIM	130	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR FIT				
3.61 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				

3.591 $\pm 0.054 \pm 0.174$ 6067 ABLIKIM 17 BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

4.28 $\pm 0.49 \pm 1.11$ 78 ¹ ABLIKIM 15P BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$

3.7 $\begin{array}{l} +1.1 \\ -0.9 \end{array} \pm 0.4$ ² NAIK 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.83 $\pm 0.15 \pm 0.39$ 1014 ³ ABLIKIM 12E BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{11}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

³ Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

$\Gamma((\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \text{ S-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
37.63 ± 0.77 ± 5.00	6580	¹ ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature .

$\Gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7.44 ± 0.60 ± 2.23	1231	¹ ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature .

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

8.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

8.28^{+2.49}_{-2.12}±0.04	20	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--	----	-------------------	----	---------------------------------------

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.04	90	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
8.4±0.9±0.1	199	¹ ABLIKIM	14M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 24	90	² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<1000	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

¹ ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] = (4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{15}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{15}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.6	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.79±0.38±0.02	84	¹ ABLIKIM	14M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<27	90	² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
-----	----	-------------------	----	---------------------------------------

¹ ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] = (9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{16}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

Γ_{16}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.002	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{18}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

Γ_{18}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+\text{MM}$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.01	90	LONDON 66	HBC	Compilation

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	¹ ABLIKIM 13U	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

< 53 90 ² NAIK 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<500 95 KALBFLEISCH 64B HBC $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{20}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

Γ_{20}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant }\pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{21}/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	16M BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4 $^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$ OUR FIT					

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.11 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.14$	429	¹ ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
$2.5 \begin{array}{l} +1.2 \\ -0.9 \end{array} \pm 0.5$		² NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65 HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

¹ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{22}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.5 $^{+3.0}_{-2.3}$ OUR FIT				

5.52 $^{+3.00}_{-2.30} \pm 0.03$	8	¹ NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--	---	-------------------	---------	----------------------------------

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14_{-5}^{+7} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant }\pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_{22}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.2 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^+e^-\nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{23}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0	90	ABLIKIM	13G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.9	90	BRIERE	00 CLEO	$10.6 e^+e^-$
--------	----	--------	---------	---------------

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{24}/Γ_7

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.13 $\pm 0.09 \pm 0.07$	864	ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.20 $\pm 0.07 \pm 0.23$	3.4k	ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67$	655	ABLIKIM	17T	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{25}/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<37	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.33 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	19AW	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma 2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.94 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABLIKIM	20E	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	DONSKOV	14	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta'n$
-----------------------	----	---------	----	---------------------------------------

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_{28}/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---------------------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<23	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38\pi^- p \rightarrow n8\gamma$
-------	----	------	-----	---------------------------------------

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90	1 ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<12 \times 10^{-9}$	90	2 AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$ MeV.

² Using $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$ keV, $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---------------------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<9.5	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--------	----	-------------------	----	---------------------------------------

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{30}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{30}/Γ_7

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-2})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.4	90	ABLIKIM	13	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.69	90	ABLIKIM	06Q	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$
---------	----	---------	-----	------------------------------------

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

Γ_{30}/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2.1	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{31}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.18	90	¹ AAIJ	17D	LHCb $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.5	90	² ABLIKIM	11G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
< 29	90	³ MORI	07A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 3.3	90	⁴ MORI	07A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
<800	95	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
<200	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

¹ Using branching fractions of $D_{(s)}^+$ decays from PDG 15.

² ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$.

³ Taking into account interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

⁴ Without interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{32}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4 × 10⁻⁴	90	¹ ABLIKIM	11G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$.				

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$

Γ_{32}/Γ_4

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<45	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{33}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{34}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 2.4	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$		Γ_{35}/Γ_4		
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.6	90	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$
$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{36}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<6.0	90	DZHELYADIN	81 CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{37}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.5	90	DZHELYADIN	81 CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{38}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.7	90	BRIERE	00 CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables; α is complex and C , and D are real-valued. Parameters C and D are not necessarily equal to c and d , respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays. Because of different initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

$\text{Re}(\alpha)$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
-0.034 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.054 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001	56k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.033 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.072 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006	7k	² AMELIN	05A VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- A^*$
-0.021 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.017	6.7k	³ BRIERE	00 CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- X$
-0.058 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003	5.4k	⁴ ALDE	86 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.08 \pm 0.03		^{4,5} KALBFLEISCH	74 RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $C = 0$, and $D = 0$.

⁴ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁵ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

***Im(α)* decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.000±0.019±0.001	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.000±0.038±0.002	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.000±0.049±0.001	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.0 ± 0.1 ± 0.0	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
-0.00 ± 0.13 ± 0.00	5.4k	³ ALDE	86	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.0 ± 0.3		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.³ Assuming $C = 0$.⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.***C* decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0027±0.0024±0.0015	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.020 ± 0.018 ± 0.004	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.***D* decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.053±0.004±0.004	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.061±0.009±0.005	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.059±0.012±0.004	44k	¹ ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.066±0.030±0.015	7k	² AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
0.00 ± 0.03 ± 0.00	5.4k	³ ALDE	86	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.³ Assuming $C = 0$.⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables and a , b , c , and d are real-valued parameters.
 May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays.
 We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

a decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.056±0.004±0.002	351k	ABLIKIM 18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.087±0.009±0.006	56k	ABLIKIM 18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.074±0.008±0.006	124k	ADLARSON 18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.072±0.007±0.008		¹ GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.047±0.011±0.003	44k	² ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.066±0.016±0.003	15k	³ BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.127±0.016±0.008	20k	⁴ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

² See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

b decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.049±0.006±0.006	351k	ABLIKIM 18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.073±0.014±0.005	56k	ABLIKIM 18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.063±0.014±0.005	124k	ADLARSON 18A	A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.052±0.001±0.002		¹ GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.069±0.019±0.009	44k	² ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.063±0.028±0.004	15k	³ BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.106±0.028±0.014	20k	⁴ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

² See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

c decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0027±0.0024±0.0018	351k	ABLIKIM 18	BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.019 ± 0.011 ± 0.003	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.107 ± 0.096 ± 0.003	15k	² BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
0.015 ± 0.011 ± 0.014	20k	³ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

d decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.063±0.004±0.003	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.074±0.009±0.004	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.050±0.009±0.005	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.051±0.008±0.006		¹ GONZALEZ-S..	18A	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.073±0.012±0.003	44k	² ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.018±0.078±0.006	15k	³ BLIK	09	GAM4 32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.082±0.017±0.008	20k	⁴ DOROFEEV	07	VES 27 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$, $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

² See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decay. If $c \equiv 0$ from Bose-Einstein symmetry, $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$.

⁴ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ decay.

$\eta'(958)$ β PARAMETER |MATRIX ELEMENT|² = (1 + 2βZ)

See the “Note on η Decay Parameters” in our 1994 edition Physical Review **D50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

β decay parameter

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
-0.640±0.046±0.047	1.8k	ABLIKIM	15G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08	GAMS 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE	87B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n3\pi^0$

$\eta'(958)$ C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on η decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
-0.019±0.056		AIHARA	87	TPC $2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-0.069±0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75	STRC 2.1 $\pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75	HBC 2.18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65	HBC 2.1–2.7 $K^- p$

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\ell^+\ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass Λ , via slope $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$. See e.g. LANDSBERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62±0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
1.60±0.17±0.08	864	¹ ABLIKIM	150	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$
1.7 ±0.4	33	¹ VIKTOROV	80	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

¹ In the single-pole Ansatz where slope = $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$ with Λ , γ being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

$\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	20E	PR D101 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AW	PR D100 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18	PR D97 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADLARSON	18A	PR D98 012001	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
GONZALEZ-S...	18A	EPJ C78 758	S. Gonzalez-Solis, E. Passemar	(BEIJ, IND+)
AAIJ	17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17T	PR D96 012005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4π Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4π Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
		Translated from YAF 55 2748.		

KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
VOROBIEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48	436.	
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzheleyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32	1005.	
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino <i>et al.</i>	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i>	(+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP